## Proposed Changes to Title 14 CCR California Forest Practice Rules CLFA Streamlining, 2006

## Version 11\_30\_05

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

Amend 916.5(e), 936.5(e), 956.5(e) Procedure for Determining

Watercourse and Lake Protection Zone (WLPZ) Widths and Protective

Measures [All Districts]

(e) The letter designations shown in the "Protective Measures and Widths" column in Table I correspond to the following:

"A" WLPZ shall be clearly identified on the ground by the RPF who prepared the plan, or supervised designee, with paint, flagging, or other suitable means prior to the preharvest inspection. For nonindustrial timber management plans, sample identification of the WLPZ prior to the preharvest inspection may be allowed. The sample shall be based upon a field examination and be consistent with the applicable provisions of 14 CCR §§ 916.4 [936.4, 956.4] and 916.5 [936.5, 956.5], representing the range of conditions found within the WLPZ. The Director shall determine if the sample identification is adequate for plan evaluation during the preharvest inspection. If sample identification is allowed, the remaining WLPZ shall be identified by an RPF, or supervised designee, prior to the start of timber operations within or adjacent to the WLPZ. The Department shall be notified when the WLPZ has been identified and may review the WLPZ identification prior to the start of timber operations to determine whether it is consistent with the sample marking and the rules of the Board.

"B" WLPZ shall be clearly identified on the ground by an RPF, or supervised designee, with paint, flagging, or other suitable means, prior to the start of timber operations. *[In planning watersheds* determined to contain coho salmon, chinook salmon, or steelhead, on the ground identification of the WLPZ must be completed prior to the preharvest inspection.] In watersheds with threatened or impaired values on the ground identification of the WLPZ shall be completed prior to the preharvest inspection. For all non-industrial timber management plans, sample identification of the WLPZ prior to the preharvest inspection may be allowed. The sample shall be based upon a field examination and be consistent with the applicable provisions of 14 CCR §§ 916.4 [936.4, 956.4] and 916.5 [936.5, 956.5], representing the range of conditions found within the WLPZ. The Director shall determine if the sample identification is adequate for plan evaluation during the preharvest inspection. If sample identification is allowed, the remaining WLPZ shall be identified by an RPF, or supervised designee, prior to the start of timber operations within or adjacent to the WLPZ. The Department shall be notified when the WLPZ has been identified and may review the WLPZ identification prior to the start of timber operations to determine whether it is consistent with the sample marking and the rules of the Board.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"C" In site-specific cases, the RPF may provide in the plan, or the Director may require, that the WLPZ be clearly identified on the ground with flagging or by other suitable means prior to the start of timber operations.

1 "D" To ensure retention of shade canopy filter strip properties 2 of the WLPZ and the maintenance of a multi-storied stand for 3 protection of values described in 14 CCR 916.4(b) [936.4(b), 4 956.4(b)], a base mark below the cutline of residual or harvest trees within the zone shall be done in advance of the preharvest inspection 5 by the RPF or supervised designee. [Except in planning watersheds 6 7 determined to contain coho salmon, chinook salmon, or steelhead, 8 sample marking is satisfactory in those cases where the Director 9 determines it is adequate for the plan evaluation. When sample 10 marking has been used, all marking shall be done in advance of falling 11 operations within the WLPZ. | residual or harvest trees shall be 12 marked, including a base mark below the cut line, within the WLPZ. 13 Outside of watersheds with threatened or impaired values, sample 14 marking prior to the preharvest inspection is satisfactory in those 15 cases where the Director determines it is adequate for plan 16 evaluation. When sample marking has been used, all marking shall be 17 done in advance of falling operations in the WLPZ. In watersheds with 18 threatened or impaired values, trees shall be marked in advance of the 19 preharvest inspection by the RPF, or supervised designee. For all 20 non-industrial timber management plans, sample marking of the WLPZ prior to the preharvest inspection may be allowed. The sample shall 21 22 be based upon a field examination and shall be consistent with the 23 applicable provisions of 14 CCR §§ 916.4 [936.4, 956.4] and 916.5 24 [936.5, 956.5], representing the range of conditions found within the 25 WLPZ. The Director shall determine if the sample mark is adequate for

plan evaluation during the preharvest inspection. If sample marking

is allowed, the remaining WLPZ shall be marked by an RPF, or supervised designee, prior to the start of timber operations within or adjacent to the WLPZ. The Department shall be notified when the WLPZ has been marked and may review the WLPZ identification prior to the start of timber operations to determine whether it is consistent with the sample marking and the rules of the Board.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"E" To ensure retention of shade canopy filter strip properties of the WLPZ and the maintenance of wildlife a multi-storied stand for protection of values described in 14 CCR 916.4(b) [936.4(b), 956.4(b)], a base mark shall be placed below the cutline of the residual or harvest trees within the zone and shall be done in advance of timber falling operations by the RPF or supervised designee. [In planning watersheds determined to contain coho salmon, chinook salmon, or steelhead, tree marking must be completed prior to the preharvest inspection. Sample marking is satisfactory in those cases where the Director determines it is adequate for the plan evaluation. When sample marking has been used, all marking shall be done in advance of falling operations.] residual or harvest trees shall be marked, including a base mark below the cut line, within the WLPZ. Outside of watersheds with threatened or impaired values, tree marking shall be done prior to timber falling operations. In watersheds with threatened or impaired values, trees shall be marked in advance of the preharvest inspection by the RPF, or supervised designee. For all non-industrial timber management plans, sample marking of the WLPZ prior to the preharvest inspection may be allowed. The sample shall be based upon a field examination and shall be consistent with the

applicable provisions of 14 CCR §§ 916.4 [936.4, 956.4] and 916.5 [936.5, 956.5], representing the range of conditions found within the WLPZ. The Director shall determine if the sample mark is adequate for plan evaluation during the preharvest inspection. If sample marking is allowed, the remaining WLPZ shall be marked by an RPF, or supervised designee, prior to the start of timber operations within or adjacent to the WLPZ. The Department shall be notified when the WLPZ has been marked and may review the WLPZ identification prior to the start of timber operations to determine whether it is consistent with the sample marking and the rules of the Board.

"F" Residual or harvest tree marking within the WLPZ may be stipulated in the THP by the RPF or required by the Director in site-specific cases to ensure retention of filter strip properties or to maintain soil stability of the zone. The RPF shall state in the THP if marking was used in these zones.

"G" To protect water temperature, filter strip properties, upslope stability, and fish and wildlife values, at least 50% of the overstory and 50% of the understory canopy covering the ground and adjacent waters shall be left in a well distributed multi-storied stand composed of a diversity of species similar to that found before the start of operations. The residual overstory canopy shall be composed of at least 25% of the existing overstory conifers. Species composition may be adjusted consistent with the above standard to meet on-site conditions when agreed to in the THP by the RPF and the Director.

"H" At least 50% of the understory vegetation present before

timber operations shall be left living and well distributed within the WLPZ to maintain soil stability. This percentage may be adjusted to meet on-site conditions when agreed to in the THP by the RPF and the Director. Unless required by the Director, this shall not be construed to prohibit broadcast burning with a project type burning permit for site preparation.

"I" To protect water temperature, filter strip properties, upslope stability, and fish and wildlife values, at least 50% of the total canopy covering the ground shall be left in a well distributed multi-storied stand configuration composed of a diversity of species similar to that found before the start of operations. The residual overstory canopy shall be composed of at least 25% of the existing overstory conifers. Due to variability in Class II watercourses these percentages and species composition may be adjusted to meet on-site conditions when agreed to by the RPF and the Director in the THP.

Amend 916.9 (e)-(g) and (s)-(z), 936.9(e)-(g) (s)-(z), 956.9(e)-(g) (s)-(z) Protection and Restoration in Watersheds with Threatened or Impaired Values [All Districts]

(e) Channel zone requirements

 $\ensuremath{\text{(1)}}$  There shall be no timber operations within the channel zone with the following exceptions:

 $\underline{\ \ }$  Limber harvesting that is directed to improve salmonid habitat through the limited use of the selection or commercial thinning silvicultural methods with review and comment by DFG.

(B) timber harvesting necessary for the construction or reconstruction of approved watercourse crossings.

(C) timber harvesting necessary for the protection of public health and safety.

(D) to allow for full suspension cable yarding when necessary to transport logs through the channel zone.

(E) Class III watercourses where exclusion of timber operations is not needed for protection of listed salmonids.

(2) In all instances where trees are proposed to be felled within the channel zone, a base mark shall be placed below the cut line of the harvest trees within the zone. Such marking shall be completed by the RPF that prepared the plan prior to the preharvest inspection.

OPTION 5: (f) Subsections (f)(1) through (f)(5) apply to those watercourse reaches where anadromous salmonids are always or seasonally present and to those watercourse reaches where anadromy is limited, but can be feasibly restored as identified by a DFG biologist or as further identified and refined during preparation and review of the plan.

(f)(1) The minimum WLPZ width for Class I waters shall be 150 feet from the watercourse or lake transition line. Where a proposed THP is located within the Sacramento or San Joaquin river drainages, and the Director and DFG concur; the RPF may explain and justify other WLPZ widths on areas where even aged regeneration methods, seed tree removal, shelterwood removal, alternative prescriptions, or rehabilitation will not be utilized adjacent to watercourse and lake protection zones and where slopes are less than 30%.

(g)(2) Within a WLPZ for Class I waters, at least 85 percent overstory canopy shall be retained within 75 feet of the watercourse or lake transition line, and at least 65 percent overstory canopy within the remainder of the WLPZ. The overstory canopy must be composed of at least 25% overstory conifer canopy post-harvest. Where a proposed THP is located within the Sacramento or San Joaquin river

drainages, and the Director and DFG concur; the RPF may explain and justify other canopy retention standards on areas where even aged regeneration methods, seed tree removal, shelterwood removal, alternative prescriptions, or rehabilitation will not be utilized adjacent to watercourse and lake protection zones and where slopes are less than 30%.

Harvesting of hardwoods shall only occur for the purpose of enabling conifer regeneration.

 $\frac{(h)(3)}{(1)}$  For Class I waters, any plan involving timber operations within the WLPZ shall contain the following information:

(1)(A) A clear and enforceable specification of how any disturbance or log or tree cutting and removal within the Class I WLPZ shall be carried out to conform with 14 CCR 916.2 [936.2, 956.2](a) and 916.9 [936.9, 956.9](a).

(2)(B) A description of all existing permanent crossings of Class I waters by logging roads and clear specification regarding how these crossings are to be modified, used, and treated to minimize risks, giving special attention to allowing fish to pass both upstream and downstream during all life stages.

(3)(C) Clear and enforceable specifications for construction and operation of any new crossing of Class I waters to prevent direct harm, habitat degradation, water velocity increase, hindrance of fish passage, or other potential impairment of beneficial uses of water.

habitat in Class I anadromous fish-bearing or restorable waters shall be ensured by retaining the ten largest dbh conifers (live or dead) per 330 feet of stream channel length that are the most conducive to recruitment to provide for the beneficial functions of riparian zones. The retained conifers shall be selected from within the THP area that lies within 50 feet of the watercourse transition line. Where the THP boundary is an ownership boundary, a class I watercourse, and the WLPZ on both sides of the watercourse currently meets the stocking standards listed under 14 CCR 912.7[932.7,952.7](b)(2)}; the five (5) largest dbh conifers (live or dead) per 330 feet of stream channel length that are the most conducive to recruitment to provide for the beneficial functions of riparian zones within the THP area shall be retained within 50 feet of the watercourse transition line.

The RPF may propose alternatives to substitute smaller diameter trees, trees that are more than 50 feet from the watercourse transition line, or other alternatives on a site specific basis. The RPF must explain and justify in the THP why the proposed alternative is more conducive to current and long-term Large Woody Debris recruitment, shading, bank stability, and the beneficial functions of riparian zones.

(j)(5) Where an inner gorge extends beyond a Class I WLPZ and slopes are greater than 55%, a special management zone shall be established where the use of evenaged regeneration methods is prohibited. This zone shall extend upslope to the first major breakin-slope to less than 55% for a distance of 100 feet or more, or 300

feet as measured from the watercourse or lake transition line, which ever is less. All operations on slopes exceeding 65% within an inner gorge of a Class I or II watercourse shall be reviewed by a Registered Geologist prior to plan approval, regardless of whether they are proposed within a WLPZ or outside of a WLPZ.

(k)(g) From October 15 to May 1, the following shall apply: (1) no timber operations shall take place unless the approved plan incorporates a complete winter period operating plan pursuant to 14 CCR 914.7(a) [934.7(a), 954.7(a)], (2) unless the winter period operating plan proposes operations during an extended period with low antecedent soil wetness, no tractor roads shall be constructed, reconstructed, or used on slopes that are over 40 percent and within 200 feet of a Class I, II, or III watercourse, as measured from the watercourse or lake transition line, and (3) operation of trucks and heavy equipment on roads and landings shall be limited to those with a stable operating surface.

. . . . Note: if adopting Option 5 all lettering below (g) in 916.9 would be also changed

- (s) No timber operations are allowed in a WLPZ, or within any ELZ or EEZ designated for watercourse or lake protection, under emergency notices or exemption notices except for:
- (t) No timber operations are allowed in a WLPZ, or within any

  ELZ or EEZ designated for watercourse or lake protection, under

  emergency notices except for:

14 CCR 912[932, 952].7 are not met upon completion of timber

operations, unless the area meets the definition of substantially damaged timberlands, at least ten trees shall be planted for each tree harvested but need not exceed an average point count of 300 per acre (741.3 per ha).

(u)(t) No salvage logging is allowed in a WLPZ without an approved HCP, an SYP, or an approved plan that contains a section that sets forth objectives, goals, and measurable results for streamside salvage operations.

 $\underline{(\mathbf{v})}$  (u) Nonstandard practices (i.e., waivers, exceptions, in-lieu practices, and alternative practices) shall comply with the goal set forth in subsection (a) above as well as with the other requirements set forth in the rules.

(w)(\*) The Director may approve alternatives provided the alternative practice will achieve the goal of this section. The Director shall not accept for inclusion in a plan any alternative practice as described in this section where two or more agencies listed in 4582.6 of the PRC and 14 CCR 1037.3 have submitted written comments which lead to the Director's conclusion that the proposed alternative will not meet the goal of this section and the agency(ies) participated in the review of the plan, including an on-the-ground inspection.

(x) (w)—Other measures that would effectively achieve the goal set forth in 14 CCR 916.9(a) [936.9(a), 956.9(a)] may be approved in accordance with 14 CCR 916.6 [936.6, 956.6].

1 **OPTION 1: (y)(x)**—The provisions of 14 CCR 916.9 [936.9, 956.9] shall 2 not apply to a plan that is subject to an incidental take permit based 3 upon an approved Habitat Conservation Plan that addresses anadromous 4 salmonid protection. Consistent with the goals outlined in 14 CCR 916[936, 956].9(a), where DFG has participated in the proposed plan 5 6 review, the protection measures outlined in subsections (f), (g), (h), 7 (i), and (j) above only apply to those Class I watercourse reaches 8 where populations of anadromous salmonids that are listed as 9 threatened, endangered, or candidate under the State or Federal 10 Endangered Species Acts with their implementing regulations are 11 currently present or can be restored as identified by DFG or as 12 further identified and refined during preparation and review of the 13 plan. 14 15 <u>OPTION 2: (γ) (χ)</u> The provisions of 14 CCR 916.9 [936.9, 956.9] shall 16 not apply to a plan that is subject to an incidental take permit based 17

upon an approved Habitat Conservation Plan that addresses anadromous salmonid protection.

(z) The protection measures outlined in subsections (f), (q), (h), (i), and (j) only apply to:

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- (i) those watercourse reaches where anadromous salmonids are always or seasonally present, and to
- (ii) those watercourse reaches where anadromy is limited, but can be restored as identified by DFG biologist or as further identified and refined during preparation and review of the plan.

OPTION 3: (y)(x) The provisions of 14 CCR 916.9 [936.9, 956.9] shall not apply to a plan that is subject to an incidental take permit based upon an approved Habitat Conservation Plan that addresses anadromous salmonid protection.

- (z) The protection measures outlined in subsections (f), (g),
  (h), (i), and (j) only apply to:
- (i) those watercourse reaches where anadromous salmonids are always or seasonally present, and to
- (ii) those watercourse reaches where anadromy is limited, but can be restored as identified by DFG biologist or as further identified and refined during preparation and review of the plan.
- (1) In the event that written comments received from an agency listed in PRC 4582.6 and 14 CCR 1037.3, and which participated in review of the plan, lead to the conclusion that the application of one or more of these subsections is necessary to conform with 14 CCR 916.2[936.2, 956.2] (a) and 916.9[936.9, 956.9] (a), the Director shall require that the protection measure(s) be made part of the plan.

OPTION 4: (y) The Director may waive the provisions of 14 CCR §§

916.9 [936.9, 956.9] (f), (g), (h), (i), and (j) for the Class I

waters which are within a watershed with threatened or impaired values

but which lie outside the range of anadromy. The Director may

authorize this waiver only after consultation with DFG and the

Regional Water Quality Control Board to ascertain that the waiver

doesnot threaten to cause a violation of any applicable legal

requirements that these agencies are mandated or authorized to promulgate or enforce. (aa) (y) This section shall expire on December 31, 2007. Version 11\_30\_05